The Economics of Contract Quality Part 2: Defining an Index Insurance Quality Standard

Michael R. Carter

University of California, Davis, University of Cape Town, NBER & BASIS Assets & Market Access Innovation Lab

Workshop on Agricultural Insurance in Uganda Kampala, 15 February 2019







(日) (同) (三) (三)

M.R. Carter The Economics of Contract Quality

Designing and Certifying a Real World Contract

- The primary index is based on (inexpensive) satellite data
- If the satellite index does not pay out, an audit, in the form of a crop-cutting exercise, can be invoked at farmers' request
- The result of the audit will determine payouts
- Incentive compatible penalties to prevent unnecessary audits



- Collected up to 10 years of plot-level recall yield data for 400 rice farmers in our study area in Northeastern Tanzania
- Used publicly available satellite data from NASA



→ < Ξ →</p>

Modeling yields as a function of the satellite data



M.R. Carter The Economics of Contract Quality

- Consider 3 different contracts:
 - Area-yield contract: Compensates farmers with an amount equal to actual area yield shortfalls below the zone mean
 - Satellite-based contract: Compensates farmers for predicted zone-level yield shortfalls
 - Satellite-based conditional audit contract: Pays according to the satellite-based contract, unless farmers request an audit. If farmers request an audit and actual losses are greater than a certain percentage (δ) of predicted losses, the contract will pay based on the result of the audit. The optimal audit trigger (δ) depends on the audit cost (γ)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Applying MQS to Contract Alternatives

1325 — No Insurance --- Area Yield -- Satellite with Audit 1300 -- Satellite Only Risk-discounted Expected Level of Economic Well-being 1275 1250 1225 1200 1175 1150 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 Premium, \$US/hectare

Minimum Quality Standard: Rice Farmers in Tanzania

M.R. Carter The Economics of Contract Quality

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Another Example Piloted in Tanzania & Mozambique for Maize



• Spillovers to Kenya crop insurance program

Downscaling with Type-2 Audit

- Area yield contracts can in principle offer strong insurance value (compared to weather-based insurance)
- But over what geographic should yields be calculated?
 - A too small area (e.g., the individual farmer's field in the extreme) creates a moral hazard problem
 - A too large area (e.g., average yields for a county or even a group of villages) lessens the quality of the insurance
- So might two triggers be better than one?
 - Primary trigger set a small area (e.g., village)
 - A higher level "audit" trigger can control moral hazard
- Let's compare single trigger contract set at the 10 village level versus a 2-trigger contract set at the level of single village with audit of 2 randomly selected neighboring villages
- Both contracts carry same price!

・ コ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

Uncompensated Losses under Alternative Contracts in Mali



M.R. Carter The Economics of Contract Quality

- Scale down insurance zones to smallest level possible given technology & moral hazard problems (including reliance on double trigger contracts as with cotton contracts)
- Use ground-truthing & technology to eliminate design failure
- Consider fail-safe audit to definitively eliminate design failure
- Beware that in some environments index insurance may never work because intrinsic idiosyncratic risk is too high

・ロト ・ 一日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

- Problems of risk & resilience more powerful than ever
- Time to neither praise nor bury index insurance
- Big data technological frontier is exciting, but we need more attention to the designing contracts for quality to take advantage of these new technological possibilities
- A "Do No Harm" MQS seems reasonable from the perspective of the different stakeholders
- As we will discuss, governments & the private sector can support the development and certification of quality standards

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <