



TARA STEINMETZ, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FEED THE FUTURE INNOVATION LAB FOR ASSETS & MARKET ACCESS

Fairview Hotel, Nairobi, Kenya 4 JULY 2017

basis.ucdavis.edu









PRESENTATION OVERVIEW

- 1. The potential for development
- 2. A cautionary tale on quality
- 3. Prioritizing client value
- 4. How to assess context
- 5. Tools to assess product value
- 6. Case studies
- 7. Key policy takeaways
- 8. Group exercise











AMA Innovation Lab Research on Insurance











WHAT IS THE GOAL?

- To protect current consumption and assets despite shocks
- This is done by stabilizing production income at a certain level (say, 75% of average)
- Because of this goal, there are some key underlying assumptions:
 - A. Insures a large share of the income (main crop)
 - B. Covers shocks that matter (main risks or all shocks)
 - C. Pays when losses occur











COSTLY COPING FOR UNINSURED RISK

Reducing Consumption

- To protect remaining assets, households especially the relatively poorer households – reduce consumption..
- This can lead to long-term negative impacts, particularly stunting of children under five.
- This, in turn, can lead to the intergenerational transfer of poverty.

Relatively poor insured households reduced use of this strategy <u>62%</u>.



Selling Assets

- Some households may sell off remaining assets to smooth consumption.
- Can place households in a poverty trap if the household no longer has the minimum assets necessary to maintain livelihoods.
- Can make the negative impacts of a shock last years.









INSURANCE ENABLES INVESTMENT

In an impact evaluation of an index-based insurance intervention in Mali, cotton farmers:

In Ghana, index an interlinked credit and insurance intervention:



Increased use of loans for investment 34%

Increased use of productive investments **50%**

Women increased their loan applications **15-17%** for traditional interlinked products

Banks increased loan approval by **32%** when payouts went first to paying the balance of the loan

54-60% of farmers are willing to pay above market prices for insured loans









What Could Happen with Low Quality Insurance?

HARM TO FARMERS

If farmers experience an insurable, catastrophic loss and the contract fails, they could be left <u>worse off</u> than if there had been no intervention at all.



"The season was bad. We could not pay back our credit. We were forced to sell our goats and sheep to pay off our debt and the insurance."

"The farmer who has had a bad harvest and does not get insurance payouts still has to pay the insurance fees. This is a double penalty for him."







What Could Happen with Low Quality Insurance?

"But after the shock last year when we did not receive anything, it really discouraged us."

"Their [the sales agents] attitude shows that they just want to make profit on us.

It is not to help us."

LONG TERM

This kind of loss of trust in insurance as a tool <u>could ruin the</u> <u>insurance market</u> for future high-quality products with high potential for development impact.









WHAT ARE THE POLICY IMPLICATIONS?



FARMER VALUE FROM INDEX INSURANCE

- From the farmer's perspective, are they at least not **WORSE** off for having bought insurance?
- Has to be the right tool for the right challenges in the right context (not designed to work for every commodity in every country).











HOW DO I ASSESS CONTEXT?

- 1. Identify whether risk is a barrier to growth and/or a contributor to poverty, and identify resources available for any intervention.
- 2. Assess whether an index-based insurance product can be designed to effectively transfer risk for smallholder farmers.
- 3. Move from the design phase to the field to assess how and inbox-based insurance product might be delivered in the field.

If all of the steps above indicate a high potential for a high-quality, responsibly implemented index-based agricultural insurance product with appropriate support, then this may be an appropriate tool for development impact. Under these circumstances, its worth taking the next steps to contract and implementation design.









DETERMINANTS OF INSURANCE QUALITY

Assuming the product is being used in the appropriate context, quality problems can arise in the following areas:











PRODUCT VALUE ASSESSMENT TOOL

- Created under the GAN, the Product Value Assessment Tool (PVAT) incorporates several important dimensions of client value into a single tool.
- Moving toward the objective of being able to compare different insurance products, and to move toward safe minimum standards for products.











PRODUCT VALUE ASSESSMENT TOOL

- Poorly designed products can create more risks than they mitigate.
- There are many factors that can totally or partially diminish client value.
- One of the most obvious risks is basis risk. Basis risk, the difference between farmers' actual losses and the performance of the index, can result in farmers' failing to receive a payout in bad years or receiving a payout when they have not suffered a loss, both of which severely erode the value of insurance.
- There are also, however, many factors related to implementation which play essential roles in determining client value.
- "The GAN's Product Value Assessment Tool (GAN-PVAT) is based on the ILO's PACE value tool to evaluate inclusive insurance, considering four dimensions of value: Product, Access, Cost, and Experience, and adapts this tool for more complex agricultural insurance products."









14 INDICATORS

For each indicator, the GAN-PVAT evaluation relies on a number of sources, including interviews with covered farmers, interviews with the management of the insurance company, interviews with staff and sales agents, and administrative data. Scores range from "Fail" to "Strong", and are evaluated against criteria defined in the tool itself.

INDICATORS OF VALUE				
Index reliably predicts farmers' experience Covers appropriate activities Covers appropriate risks Makes a positive contribution to overall risk management capacity	Minimizes unintended gaps in coverage Covered farmers are adequately informed of product details Staff and sales agents are adequately trained, incentivized, and supervised to inform clients and sell responsibly Payment methods are appropriate	Delivers adequate value for money Price is affordable and accessible	Benefits are delivered in a timely manner Procedure to collect the benefit is simple and easy Provider is responsive and proactive about questions, problems, and complaints Covered farmers receive evidence of coverage	
PRODUCT	ACCESS	COST	EXPERIENCE	

Source: Measuring Client Value in Index Insurance, an explanatory brief. EA Consultants









But how can you think about quality of contract design as it compares to development objectives?



WHAT DOES INCOME STABILIZATION LOOK LIKE IN THE DATA?



The solid line is the "perfect product", which is almost be definition impossible. The dashed line is the index-based insurance product performance.

The goal is to make the shaded area - the quality gap – between the two as small as possible.









SAFE MINIMUM STANDARDS (SMS)

- A person who is averse to risk will be willing to pay more than the pure cost of insurance **IF the insurance is of high quality and pays off in times of need**.
- A good measure of the quality of insurance is the % of the pure cost of insurance that a risk averse person would be willing to pay for the insurance.
- In the case of satellite-based index insurance contract designed for Tanzania, a moderately risk averse person would be willing to pay up to 25% more than the pure cost of the insurance (so the farmer would be willing to pay \$12.50 for an insurance that only pays out \$10 on average);
- For an even higher quality contract that offers audit protection, the moderately risk averse person would be willing to pay up to 36% more than the pure cost of the insurance (the farmer would be willing to pay \$13.60 for the insurance that pays \$10 on average).









SAFE MINIMUM STANDARDS (SMS)

- In contrast, a poorly designed index insurance contract is one that does not reduce the risk faced by the farmer.
- Preliminary analysis of a rainfall-based index insurance in West Africa finds that the farmers willingness to pay for that insurance is 75% LESS than the pure cost of the insurance (in this case, the farmer would be willing to pay only \$7.5 for the insurance that pays out \$10 on average). This is a BIG problem!











KEY POINTS FOR POLICY

- Index insurance can enable smallholder agriculturalists to invest more into growth opportunities, and to avoid costly coping strategies when a shock occurs.
- More work needs to be done to ensure that the contracts brought to market are quality and wellimplemented so they can actually protect farmers as intended and achieve development impact.
- Policy makers have the opportunity to ensure scarce resources are well-used by making client value assessments a central part of their decision making processes.











THANK YOU!



Tara Steinmetz, Assistant Director Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Assets & Market Access University of California, Davis | basis.ucdavis.edu tlsteinmetz@ucdavis.edu | basis@ucdavis.edu









CASE STUDIES TO INVESTIGATE QUALITY

CASE #1	CASE #2	
 Area-yield cotton insurance offered to farmers joint-liability groups 	 A rainfall deficit insurance This project is part of a regional project aimed to promote index insurance solutions 	
 Insurance provided on credit by the public cotton company 	Distributed through agricultural cooperatives, the product is a weather station-based	
 Double trigger insurance (higher, cooperative level & 	weather index insurance for maize, groundnut and millet farmers.	
lower, neighborhood level) Major risks are drought, flood,	 Two types of policies are available (either attached to a loan or not) 	
pest, etc. Rain-fed agriculture, costly coping mechanisms ex- ante & ex-post	 This is also a group policy, distributed by a financial institution or agricultural organization. 	

BASIS

MARKET ACCESS

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER

Review Questions:

- 1. What are key questions you might ask to determine whether or not this insurance is an appropriate tool to try to have development impact?
- 2. What questions you can ask to assess whether the contract design is safe and responsible?
- 3. What other considerations must be taken into account in implementing indexbased insurance to ensure client value?

For Analysis and Discussion:

- 1. How do you determine priorities for insurance and agricultural risk management?
- 2. What other factors do you think needs to be taken into account in analyzing interventions, from a policy perspective?
- 3. What other resources would be necessary or helpful for you as policymakers to make informed decisions to ensure you support responsible and effective interventions?









FEEDIFUTURE

The U.S. Government's Global Hunger & Food Security Initiative

www.feedthefuture.gov





